

Ground State Energy of Extended Hubbard Model by Self-Consistent RPA

S.Harir¹, M.Bennai^{1,3*} and Y.Boughaleb^{1,2†}

¹ Laboratoire de Physiques de la Matière Condensée.

Faculté des Sciences Ben M'Sik, Université Hassan II-Mohammedia Casablanca, Maroc.

² LPMC, Faculté des Sciences d' Eljadida, Université Chouaib Doukkali, Maroc.

³ Groupement Nationale de Physique des Hautes Energies, Focal point, LabUFR-PHE, Rabat, Morocco.

Abstract

Using the SCRPA, we study the intersite interaction effect on the dynamics of N electrons system. We have considered an extended Hubbard model including intrasite and intersite interactions, and we have applied this model to a system of two neighbouring atoms containing a free electron. The application of SCRPA to this model allows us to study the intersite interaction effect on the ground state and the excitation energies of system. We show that the repulsive interaction between the electrons of the neighbouring atoms is the origin of an supplementary conductivity of the system.

Keywords: extended Hubbard model, SCRPA, ph-RPA

Pacs numbers: 71.10, -W.75.10.Jm, 72.15.Nj

*Corresponding autor: bennai_idrissi@yahoo.fr, m.bennai@univh2m.ac.ma

†Member of Hassan-II Academy of Sciences and Technology

1 Introduction

The discovery of High-Temperature superconductivity has motivated a considerable effort in physics of strongly correlated electronic systems, and many theoretical models have been proposed[1]. The Hubbard model [2, 3] is one of the simplest and more general description of an interacting electrons system on a lattice. In its simplest form, it include the usual kinetic energy of electrons and the competition between the on-site electron-electron interaction. The standard Hubbard model is defined by the second quantized Hamiltonian [2, 3]:

$$H = \sum_{i \neq j, \sigma} t_{ij} \cdot c_{i, \sigma}^{\dagger} \cdot c_{j, \sigma} + U \cdot \sum_i n_{i, \uparrow} \cdot n_{i, \downarrow} \quad (1)$$

The first term of the eqs(1) represents the kinetic energy of electrons, and each electron has a possibility of hopping between different lattice sites. $c_{j, \sigma}$ is the annihilation operator of the electron at a lattice site j with spin index σ . $c_{i, \sigma}^{\dagger}$ is the creation operator of the electron at a lattice site i , so t_{ij} is the hopping integral from the site j to the site i . The second term represents the intrasite coulomb interaction with energy U , where $n_{i, \sigma}$ is the number operator of electrons at the site i with spin σ .

Recently, the Random Phase Approximation (RPA)[4], was used to resolve the standard Hubbard model[5, 6]. The RPA is an approach which treats seriously the correlations of system, and attempt to minimise the system energy. The standard Hamiltonian Hubbard must be developed as function of the creation and annihilation operators of the pair particle-hole(p-h), because our RPA regroupes the electrons system on pair: particle-hole. The application of RPA to standard Hubbard gives non linear coupled equations, where the resolution is done by a SCRPA[7, 8]. In this paper, we consider an extended Hubbard model[9], where the intersite coulomb interactions are introduced. This model was shown to describe many interesting properties of high TC superconductors materials [10]. In this work, we apply the SCRPA method to this extended Hubbard model, and study the intersite interaction effect on the ground state and excitation energy of system. We show that the repulsive interaction between the electrons of the neighbouring atoms is the origin of a supplementary conductivity of the system.

2 Extended Hubbard Model

The standard Hubbard model with intrasite interaction explains some important physical phenomena like High-Temperature superconductivity [3], Mott-transition[11]. To explain other physical phenomena observed in different areas of the solid state physics like magnetic and transport properties, it is convenient to take into account also the intersite interaction resulting from original coulomb repulsion modified by the polaronic effect. The extended Hubbard Hamiltonian is then given by[12, 13]:

$$H = \sum_{i \neq j, \sigma} t_{ij} \cdot c_{i, \sigma}^{\dagger} \cdot c_{j, \sigma} + U \cdot \sum_i n_{i, \uparrow} \cdot n_{i, \downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j, \sigma} V_{ij}^{(1)} \cdot n_{i, \sigma} \cdot n_{j, \sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j, \sigma} V_{ij}^{(2)} \cdot n_{i, \sigma} \cdot n_{j, -\sigma} \quad (2)$$

U denotes the effective intrasite coulomb interaction. $V_{ij}^{(1)}$ ($V_{ij}^{(2)}$) describes the effective intersite coulomb interaction between the electrons in the lattice sites i and j , with the same spins (opposite spins). $V_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $V_{ij}^{(2)}$ are not necessary equal. The model(2) cannot be solved in a general case. There is however, a special but non trivial case of finite number of sites, which possesses exact analytical solution[5].

In this work we will limit ourselves to a simple case, and will apply the general formalism of SCRPA to the two sites problem. We consider a closed chain in one dimension, with periodic boundary conditions

$N = 2$. Our physical system is then equivalent to two neighbouring atoms containing a free electron. The Hamiltonian of the system is:

$$H_{II} = -t \sum_{\sigma} (c_{1,\sigma}^{\dagger} \cdot c_{2,\sigma} + c_{2,\sigma}^{\dagger} \cdot c_{1,\sigma}) + U \cdot (n_{1,\uparrow} \cdot n_{1,\downarrow} + n_{2,\uparrow} \cdot n_{2,\downarrow}) \quad (3)$$

$$+ V_1 \cdot \sum_{\sigma} n_{1,\sigma} \cdot n_{2,\sigma} + V_2 \cdot \sum_{\sigma} n_{1,\sigma} \cdot n_{2,-\sigma}$$

where $t = -t_{12} = -t_{21}$.

In order to apply the approximation SCRPA to the Hubbard model, it is necessary, first, to apply the Hartree-Fock approximation (HF) to the Hubbard model. In the HF method, we write the Hamiltonian(3) as function of quasiparticles operators, which allow us to obtain the excitation spectrum of independent quasiparticles. The states $|HF\rangle$ are defined as: $|HF\rangle = a_{k_i,\uparrow}^{\dagger} \cdot a_{k_i,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \cdot |vac\rangle$, where $a_{k,\sigma}^{\dagger}$ is the annihilation operator of the mode (k, σ) ; $a_{k;\sigma}$ is related to $c_{j;\sigma}$ with the usual Fourier transformation:

$$c_{j,\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \sum_{k,\sigma} a_{k,\sigma} \cdot \exp(-i \cdot \vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}_j) \quad (4)$$

k is the momentum of state $|k, \sigma\rangle$. The periodic boundary conditions suppose that $c_{N+j,\sigma} = c_{j,\sigma}$. With this condition, eqs(4) gives $\exp(-i \cdot \vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}_j) = 1$, which have two solutions in the first Brillouin zone: $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 = -\pi$. Thus the Hamiltonian is then written as:

$$H_{HF} = E_{HF} + \sum_{\sigma} \{ \varepsilon_1 \cdot n_{1,\sigma} + \varepsilon_2 \cdot n_{2,\sigma} \} \quad (5)$$

This expression shows that in the HF approximation, the physical system has two possible states $|HF\rangle$ and $|HF\rangle^*$. $|HF\rangle$ ($|HF\rangle^*$) is the Hartree-Fock ground state (excited state), with the momentum: $k_1 = 0$; below (and $k_2 = -\pi$; above) the Fermi momentum, and the excitation energy: ε_1 (and ε_2), where $|HF\rangle = a_{k_1,\uparrow}^{\dagger} \cdot a_{k_1,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \cdot |vac\rangle$ and $|HF\rangle^* = a_{k_2,\uparrow}^{\dagger} \cdot a_{k_2,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \cdot |vac\rangle$. As in ref [13], we define the HF quasiparticle operators by: $b_{1,\sigma} = a_{k_1,\sigma}$ and $b_{2,\sigma} = a_{k_2,\sigma}$. We have then $b_{k,\sigma} |HF\rangle = 0$, for all k .

In normal ordering of $b_{1,\sigma}$ and $b_{2,\sigma}$, the Hamiltonian(3) becomes:

$$H = H_{HF} + H_{k=0} + H_{k=-\pi} \quad (6)$$

where

$$H_{k=0} = \frac{U + V_2}{2} (\tilde{n}_{k_2,\uparrow} - \tilde{n}_{k_1,\uparrow}) (\tilde{n}_{k_2,\downarrow} - \tilde{n}_{k_1,\downarrow}) + \frac{V_1}{4} \cdot \sum_{\sigma} (\tilde{n}_{k_2,\sigma} - \tilde{n}_{k_1,\sigma})^2$$

$$H_{k=-\pi} = -\frac{U - V_2}{2} (J_{\uparrow}^{-} + J_{\uparrow}^{\dagger})(J_{\downarrow}^{-} + J_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}) - \frac{V_1}{4} \cdot \sum_{\sigma} (J_{\sigma}^{-} + J_{\sigma}^{\dagger})^2$$

With

$$J_{\sigma}^{-} = b_{1,\sigma} b_{2,\sigma}, \quad J_{\sigma}^{\dagger} = (J_{\sigma}^{-})^{\dagger}, \quad \tilde{n}_{k_i,\sigma} = b_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{i,\sigma}$$

$H_{k=0}$ and $H_{k=-\pi}$ take into account the correlation between the number operators of the type: $\tilde{n}_{k_i,\sigma} \tilde{n}_{k_j,\sigma'}$ in the ground state: $k_1 = 0$ (below the Fermi momentum) and between the magnetic momentum operators of the type: $J_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \cdot J_{\sigma'}$ in the excited state: $k_2 = -\pi$ (above the Fermi momentum).

3 Self Consistent Random Phase Approximation

3.1 Formalism

In order to apply the Formalism of SCRPA to the Hubbard model, it is convenient to use the particle-hole(ph-RPA) approximation, which regroup the physical system on pair. We can then define the RPA excitation operator as:

$$Q_v^\dagger = \sum_{p,h} (x_{ph}^v \cdot b_p^\dagger b_h^\dagger - y_{ph}^v \cdot b_h \cdot b_p) \quad (7)$$

Where h (and p) are the momentum below (and above) the Fermi momentum. Eqs(7) shows that the excitation in the ph-RPA is done only by the creation or (annihilation) of pair: particle-hole via the operator $b_p^\dagger \cdot b_h^\dagger$ ($b_h \cdot b_p$) with the amplitude x_{ph}^v (y_{ph}^v). The corresponding excited state of this excitation operator is $|v\rangle = Q_v^\dagger |RPA\rangle$, and the corresponding excitation energy is:

$$E_v = \frac{\langle RPA | [Q_v, [H, Q_v^\dagger]] | RPA \rangle}{\langle RPA | [Q_v, Q_v^\dagger] | RPA \rangle} \quad (8)$$

Where $|RPA\rangle$ is the vacuum of this RPA excitation operator: $Q_v |RPA\rangle = 0$

The minimization of E_v leads to usual RPA equations of type:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^* & -A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^v \\ y^v \end{pmatrix} = E_v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x^v \\ y^v \end{pmatrix}$$

With the relations of the orthonormality conditions of the set $\{Q_v; Q_v^\dagger\}$, we can express the elements of A and B by the RPA-amplitudes, and therefore we have a completely closed system of equations for amplitudes x and y . For our problem, we consider only the excitation operators, which conserve the spin, where the excitation is done only by the creation or annihilation of the pair: particle-hole with the same spin.

$$Q_v^\dagger = x_\uparrow^v \cdot k_\uparrow^\dagger + x_\downarrow^v \cdot k_\downarrow^\dagger - y_\uparrow^v \cdot k_\uparrow^- - y_\downarrow^v \cdot k_\downarrow^- \quad (9)$$

With $K_\sigma^\dagger = b_{2,\sigma}^\dagger \cdot b_{1,\sigma}^\dagger / \sqrt{1 - \langle M_\sigma \rangle}$, $K_\sigma^- = b_{1,\sigma} \cdot b_{2,\sigma} / \sqrt{1 - \langle M_\sigma \rangle}$ and $M_\sigma = \hat{n}_{1,\sigma} + \hat{n}_{2,\sigma}$, where the mean values $\langle \dots \rangle$ are taken with respect to the RPA vacuum ($Q_v |RPA\rangle = 0$). The SCRPA equation can then be written in the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\uparrow\uparrow} & A_{\uparrow\downarrow} & B_{\uparrow\uparrow}^\dagger & B_{\uparrow\downarrow}^\dagger \\ A_{\downarrow\uparrow} & A_{\downarrow\downarrow} & B_{\downarrow\uparrow}^\dagger & B_{\downarrow\downarrow}^\dagger \\ -B_{\uparrow\uparrow} & -B_{\uparrow\downarrow} & -A_{\uparrow\uparrow} & -A_{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ -B_{\downarrow\uparrow} & -B_{\downarrow\downarrow} & -A_{\downarrow\uparrow} & -A_{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_\uparrow^v \\ x_\downarrow^v \\ y_\uparrow^v \\ y_\downarrow^v \end{pmatrix} = E_v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_\uparrow^v \\ x_\downarrow^v \\ y_\uparrow^v \\ y_\downarrow^v \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Where the SCRPA matrix elements are given by:

$$A_{\sigma\sigma'} = \langle [K_\sigma^-, [H, K_{\sigma'}^\dagger]] \rangle$$

and

$$B_{\sigma\sigma'} = \langle [K_\sigma^-, [H, K_{\sigma'}^-]] \rangle$$

The orthonormality relations of the set $\{Q_v; Q_v^\dagger\}$, give:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\uparrow\uparrow} &= A_{\downarrow\downarrow} = A & A_{\uparrow\downarrow} &= A_{\downarrow\uparrow} = A' \\ B_{\uparrow\uparrow} &= B_{\downarrow\downarrow} = B & B_{\uparrow\downarrow} &= B_{\downarrow\uparrow} = B' \end{aligned}$$

From the Hamiltonian given in eqs(10), we can write the SCRPA matrix elements as: $A = B + 2.t$, $A' = B'$, where:

$$A = 2.t + (U - V_2) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1 - \langle M_{\downarrow} \rangle}{1 - \langle M_{\uparrow} \rangle}} \cdot \sum_v x_{\uparrow}^v (y_{\downarrow}^v + x_{\downarrow}^v) \quad (11)$$

$$- \frac{V_1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - \langle M_{\sigma} \rangle} - \sum_v (x_{\sigma}^v \cdot x_{\sigma}^v + y_{\sigma}^v \cdot y_{\sigma}^v + 2 \cdot x_{\sigma}^v \cdot y_{\sigma}^v) \right) \quad (12)$$

and

$$A' = \frac{U - V_2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \langle M_{\sigma} \rangle} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\langle M_{\sigma} \rangle = \frac{2 \sum_{\nu} |y_{\sigma}^{\nu}|^2}{1 + 2 \sum_{\nu} |y_{\sigma}^{\nu}|^2}$$

so, the ph-RPA matrix takes the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & A' & A - 2.t & A' \\ A' & A & A' & A - 2.t \\ 2.t - A & -A' & -A & -A' \\ -A' & 2.t - A & -A' & -A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{\uparrow}^v \\ x_{\downarrow}^v \\ y_{\uparrow}^v \\ y_{\downarrow}^v \end{pmatrix} = E_v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_{\uparrow}^v \\ x_{\downarrow}^v \\ y_{\uparrow}^v \\ y_{\downarrow}^v \end{pmatrix}$$

This ph-RPA matrix has two positive roots:

$$\varepsilon_1 = 2.t \cdot \sqrt{\frac{A - A'}{t} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_2 = 2.t \cdot \sqrt{\frac{A + A'}{t} - 1} \quad (14)$$

The corresponding eigenvectors are: $V_1 = [x_1, -x_1, y_1, -y_1]$ and $V_2 = [x_2, -x_2, y_2, -y_2]$, respectively. Where

$$x_1 = -\frac{A - A' + \varepsilon_1}{A - A' - 2.t} \cdot y_1 \quad ; \quad x_2 = -\frac{A + A' + \varepsilon_1}{A + A' - 2.t} \cdot y_1 \quad (15)$$

and

$$y_1 = -\sqrt{2 \cdot \left(\frac{A - A' + \varepsilon_1}{A - A' - 2.t} \right)^2 - 2} \quad ; \quad y_2 = -\sqrt{2 \cdot \left(\frac{A + A' + \varepsilon_2}{A + A' - 2.t} \right)^2 - 2} \quad (16)$$

So, like the HF approximation, in ph-RPA, our system have tow excitation energies ε_1 and ε_2 , but they are coupled. Thus in this work, we solve a system of a coupled equations numerically by iteration leading to a SCRPA solution which are quasi identical to the exact result.

Figure 1:

3.2 Results and discussion

To show the effect of intersite interaction on the energy of the system, we have studied the evolution of the ground state and excited energies in term of the interaction V_1 and V_2 .

In figure 1 we plot the variation of the ground state energy $E_{SCRPA} = \langle 0 | H | 0 \rangle$ as function of the two parameters of the intersite interaction V_1 and V_2 .

The result shows that the ground state energy is quasi independent on V_1 but, the variation of E_{SCRPA} become more important when we introduce the intersite interaction with the opposite spins: V_2 . This results can be explained by the fact that the SCRPA include only, for the fundamental state, the correlations between the particles with different spins: $|0\rangle = \left(c_0^1 + c_1^1 J_{\uparrow}^+ . J_{\downarrow}^+ \right) |HF\rangle$

Thus the only type of interaction which is of interest is V_2 . In the following we analyse the V_2 effect on the dynamics of system. In figure 2 and 3 we plot the variation of the ground state energy and the excitation energies of SCRPA, respectively, as function of the repulsive intrasite interaction U for different values of the intersite interaction V_2 .

Figure 2:

Figure 3:

The results show that, when U increases, the gap $\Delta = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1$ between the two excitation energies increases too, and so, the jump of electrons between these two states becomes more difficult. Thus, we can conclude, that for a fixed value of U , while the intersite interaction is repulsive (attractive), the gap Δ becomes less (more) important. These remarks allow us to assume that repulsive interaction between the electrons of the neighbouring atoms is the origin of supplementary conductivity of the system.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, the SCRPA approximation was used to solve the extended Hubbard model given in Eqs(3). The quality of the SCRPA method has been investigated in a previous work by Jemai[5], in which he has shown a remarkable agreement between SCRPA method and exact results for the standard Hubbard model. In our work, we have extended this technic to study the intersite interaction effects on the dynamics of the electrons in the two sites with $\langle n_{i,\uparrow} \rangle = \langle n_{i,\downarrow} \rangle$. We have shown that the gap between the excitation energies: ($\Delta = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1$) are correlated with the intersite interaction energy V_2 . This result allow us to suppose that the repulsive intersite interaction (between the electrons of the neighbouring atoms) is the origin of a supplementary conductivity of the system. In future work[14], we propose to solve the 4-sites case (plaquette), which may be very important for the explanation of high T_c superconductivity, by considering the many plaquette configurations in 2D.

Acknowledgement 1 *The authors thank Professor Rachid Nassif for carefully reading the manuscript and making many constructive comments.*

References

- [1] Bernhard Edegger, Noboru Fukushima, Claudius Gros, V.N. Muthukumar “*Particle number renormalization in almost half filled Mott Hubbard superconductors*” *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 134504 (2005), Qingshan Yuan, Feng Yuan, C. S. Ting “*Doping dependence of the electron-doped cuprate superconductors from the antiferromagnetic properties of the Hubbard model*” *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 054504 (2005), N. Stefanakis “*Local density of states for the corner geometry interface of d-wave superconductors, within the extended Hubbard model*” *Phys. Rev. B*, 66 (2002) 024514, Ivar Martin, Gerardo Ortiz, A. V. Balatsky, A. R. Bishop “*Striped superconductors in the extended Hubbard model*” *Europhys. Lett.*, v. 56, 849 (2001)
- [2] J.Hubbard, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* 276, 238 (1963), *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* 281, 401 (1964)
- [3] J.Beenen and D.M.Edwards, *Phys. Rev. B* 52, 13636 (1995)
- [4] D. Davesne, M. Oertel, H. Hansen “A consistent approximation scheme beyond RPA for bosons”, *Eur.Phys.J. A* 16 (2003) 35-42
- [5] M.Jemai, P.Schuck, J.Dukelsky, R.Bennaceur, “*Self-Consistent Random Phase Approximation: Application to the Hubbard Model for finite number of sites*” *Phys.Rev. B* 71,1 (2005)
- [6] A.Rabhi, R.Bennaceur, G.Chanfary, *P.S.Phys. Rev.. Lett.* 88, 064315 (2002)
- [7] J.Dukelsky, G.Ropke, P.Schuck, *Nucl. Phys. A* 628, 17 (1998)

- [8] A.Vdovin, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 307, 308-334 (2003)
- [9] I. V. Solovyeu “*Optimized Effective Potential for Extended Hubbard Model*” *Phys. Rev. B* 60, 8550 (1999), M. Aichhorn, H. G. Evertz, W. von der Linden, M. Potthoff “*Charge ordering in extended Hubbard models: Variational cluster approach*” *PRB* 70, 235107 (2004),
- [10] N. Stefanakis “Local density of states for the corner geometry interface of d-wave superconductors, within the extended Hubbard model” *Phys. Rev. B*, 66 (2002) 024514, Ivar Martin, Gerardo Ortiz, A. V. Balatsky, A. R. Bishop “Striped superconductors in the extended Hubbard model” *Europhys. Lett.*, v. 56, 849 (2001), Zs. Szabo, Zs. Gulacsi “*Superconductivity in the Extended Hubbard Model with More Than Nearest-Neighbour Contributions*” *Phil. Mag. B*, Vol.76, No.6, 911 (1997), Evandro V.L.de Mello “*A Model for High Temperature Superconductors using the Extended Hubbard Model*” (cond-mat/9506096), E. V. L. de Mello and C. Acha “*Extended Hubbard model applied to study the pressure effects in high-temperature superconductors*” *Phys. Rev. B* 56, 466–469 (1997)
- [11] Zhang and al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 1666 (1993), Gunnarsson and al, *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 11026 (1996)
- [12] E.J.Calegari, S.G. Magalhaes, A.A.Gomes, *Inter. Journ. of Modern Phys. B*, Vol. 18 N° 2 (2004)
- [13] B.Grabiec, S.Krawiec and M.Matlak,”Magnetism and superconductivity within extended Hubbard model for dimer. Exact results, *cond-mat/0511329*(2005)
- [14] S.Harir, M.Bennai and Y.Boughaleb. In preparation.

This figure "fig1.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1203v1>

This figure "fig2.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1203v1>

This figure "fig3.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1203v1>